

**REMARKS**

The Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for thoroughly reviewing and considering the pending application. The Office Action dated February 9, 2005 has been received and carefully reviewed. Claims 1, 8, and 23 have been amended. No new matter has been added. Claims 1-26 are currently pending. Reexamination and reconsideration of the pending claims is respectfully requested.

As an initial matter, the Examiner is thanked for the indicated allowability of claims 12-17.

The Applicants also wish to thank Examiner Tran for taking the time to discuss the pending application with the Applicants' representative on March 22, 2005. During the telephonic Examiner Interview, the Office Action, the pending claims and the cited references were discussed.

The Office Action rejected claims 1-11 and 23-26 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,115,016 to *Yoshihara et al.* (hereinafter "*Yoshihara*"). The rejection of claims 1-11 and 23-26 is respectfully traversed and reconsideration is requested. Claims 1, 8 and 23 have been amended to include the allowable subject matter of claim 12. Accordingly, the Applicants submit that *Yoshihara* does not anticipate claims 1, 8, and 23 and request that the rejection be withdrawn. Likewise, claims 2-6, which depend from claim 1; claims 9-11, which depend from claim 8; and claims 24-26, which depend from claim 23, are also patentable for at least the same reason.

In addition, the Office Action rejected claims 18-23 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Yoshihara* in view of U.S. Publication No. 2003/005810 to *Yamazaki et al.* (hereinafter "*Yamazaki*"). The Applicants traverse the rejection and request reconsideration.

Neither *Yoshihara* nor *Yamazaki*, either singularly or in combination, disclose or suggest each and every element recited in claim 18. To further illustrate, claim 18 recites method of color image display for a field sequential liquid crystal display device comprising, among other features, “providing a time interval between driving sections of a previous light source and a next light source.” As correctly pointed out in the Office Action, *Yoshihara* does not include this element. Furthermore, *Yamazaki* does not address the shortcoming of *Yoshihara*. As shown in Figure 5, while *Yamazaki* discusses turning on R, G, and B LEDs during various subframes, *Yamazaki* does not disclose providing a time interval between driving sections of a previous light source and a next light source. *Yamazaki* discloses that a red digital video signal is supplied to a liquid crystal panel during a subframe R and a green digital video signal is supplied to the liquid crystal panel during a subframe G, not “providing a time interval between driving sections of a previous light source and a next light source.” As such, the Applicants submit that neither *Yoshihara* nor *Yamazaki*, either singularly or in combination, disclose or suggest each and every element recited in claim 18 and request that the rejection be withdrawn. Similarly, claims 19-22, which depend from claim 18, are also patentable for at least the same reasons.

Claim 23 has been amended as discussed above to include the allowable subject matter of claim 12. Therefore, the Applicants submit that claim 23 is patentable over *Yoshihara* in view of *Yamazaki* and request that the rejection be withdrawn.

In view of the above, each of the presently pending claims in this application is believed to be in immediate condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this application to issue.



The Applicant hereby authorizes the Commissioner of Patents to charge any fees necessary to complete this filing, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, or credit any overpayment in fees, to Deposit Account No. 50-0911. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 for the necessary extension of time.

Dated: May 9, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By Valerie P. Hayes <sup>Reg. No.</sup> 53,005  
for George G. Ballas  
Registration No.: 52,587  
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP  
1900 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20006  
(202) 496-7500  
Attorney for Applicant